arXiv:1407.3411v1 [math.FA] 12 Jul 2014

ON REGULARIZATION OF MELLIN PDO'S WITH SLOWLY OSCILLATING SYMBOLS OF LIMITED SMOOTHNESS

Alexei Yu. Karlovich *
Centro de Matemática e Aplicações (CMA) and Departamento de Matemática,
Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa,
Quinta da Torre, 2829–516 Caparica, Portugal

Yuri I. Karlovich †
Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos,
Av. Universidad 1001, Col. Chamilpa,
C.P. 62209 Cuernavaca, Morelos, México

Amarino B. Lebre‡
Departamento de Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico,
Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049–001 Lisboa, Portugal

Abstract

We study Mellin pseudodifferential operators (shortly, Mellin PDO's) with symbols in the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ of slowly oscillating functions of limited smoothness introduced in [12]. We show that if $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ does not degenerate on the "boundary" of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ in a certain sense, then the Mellin PDO $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ is Fredholm on the space L^p for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and each its regularizer is of the form $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{b}) + K$ where K is a compact operator on L^p and $\mathfrak{b}$ is a certain explicitly constructed function in the same algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ such that $\mathfrak{b} = 1/\mathfrak{a}$ on the "boundary" of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. This result complements a known Fredholm criterion from [12] for Mellin PDO's with symbols in the closure of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 47G30; Secondary 47A53.

Keywords: Fredholmness, regularizer, Mellin pseudodifferential operator, slowly oscillating symbol, maximal ideal space.

*E-mail address: oyk@fct.unl.pt
†E-mail address: karlovich@uaem.mx
‡E-mail address: alebre@math.tecnico.ulisboa.pt

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{B}(X)$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a Banach space X, and let $\mathcal{K}(X)$ be the ideal of all compact operators in $\mathcal{B}(X)$. An operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called *Fredholm* if its image is closed and the spaces $\ker A$ and $\ker A^*$ are finite-dimensional. In that case the number

$$\operatorname{Ind} A := \dim \ker A - \dim \ker A^*$$

is referred to as the *index* of A (see, e.g., [1, Sections 1.11–1.12], [3, Chap. 4]). For bounded linear operators A and B, we will write $A \simeq B$ if $A - B \in \mathcal{K}(X)$.

Recall that an operator $B_r \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ (resp. $B_l \in \mathcal{B}(X)$) is said to be a right (resp. left) regularizer for A if

$$AB_r \simeq I \quad (\text{resp.} \quad B_l A \simeq I).$$

It is well known that the operator A is Fredholm on X if and only if it admits simultaneously a right and a left regularizers. Moreover, each right regularizer differs from each left regularizer by a compact operator (see, e.g., [3, Chap. 4, Section 7]). Therefore we may speak of a regularizer $B = B_r = B_l$ of A and two different regularizers of A differ from each other by a compact operator.

Let $d\mu(t) = dt/t$ be the (normalized) invariant measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Consider the Fourier transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$, which is usually referred to as the Mellin transform and is defined by

$$\mathcal{M} : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad (\mathcal{M}f)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(t) t^{-ix} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

It is an invertible operator, with inverse given by

$$\mathcal{M}^{-1} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu), \quad (\mathcal{M}^{-1}g)(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) t^{ix} \, dx.$$

For $1 < p < \infty$, let $\mathcal{M}_p$ denote the Banach algebra of all Mellin multipliers, that is, the set of all functions $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathcal{M}^{-1} a \mathcal{M} f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$ and

$$\|\mathcal{M}^{-1} a \mathcal{M} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)} \le c_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)} \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu).$$

If $a \in \mathcal{M}_p$, then the operator $f \mapsto \mathcal{M}^{-1} a \mathcal{M} f$ defined initially on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$. This operator is called the Mellin convolution operator with symbol a.

Mellin pseudodifferential operators are generalizations of Mellin convolution operators. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a sufficiently smooth function defined on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. The Mellin pseudodifferential operator (shortly, Mellin PDO) with symbol $\mathfrak{a}$ is initially defined for smooth functions f of compact support by the iterated integral

$$[\operatorname{Op}(\mathfrak{a}) f](t) = [\mathcal{M}^{-1} \mathfrak{a}(t, \cdot) \mathcal{M} f](t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathfrak{a}(t, x) \left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{ix} f(\tau) \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

In 1991 Rabinovich [14] proposed to use Mellin pseudodifferential operators techniques to study singular integral operators on slowly oscillating Carleson curves. This idea was exploited in a series of papers by Rabinovich and coauthors (see, e.g., [15, 16] and [17,

Sections 4.5–4.6] and the references therein). Rabinovich stated in [16, Theorem 2.6] a Fredholm criterion for Mellin PDO's with C^∞ slowly oscillating (or slowly varying) symbols on the spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. Namely, he considered symbols $\mathfrak{a} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}} \left|(t\partial_t)^j \partial_x^k \mathfrak{a}(t,x)\right|(1+x^2)^{k/2} < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad j,k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to s} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \left|(t\partial_t)^j \partial_x^k \mathfrak{a}(t,x)\right|(1+x^2)^{k/2} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad s \in \{0,\infty\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Here and in what follows ∂_t and ∂_x denote the operators of partial differentiation with respect to t and to x. Notice that (1.1) defines nothing but the Mellin version of the Hörmander class $S^0_{1,0}(\mathbb{R})$ (see, e.g., [6], [13, Chap. 2, Section 1] for the definition of the Hörmander classes $S^m_{\varrho,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). If $\mathfrak{a}$ satisfies (1.1), then the Mellin PDO $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ is bounded on the spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$ for $1 < p < \infty$ (see, e.g., [21, Chap. VI, Proposition 4] for the corresponding Fourier PDO's). Condition (1.2) is the Mellin version of Grushin's definition of slowly varying symbols in the first variable (see, e.g., [4], [13, Chap. 3, Defintion 5.11]).

The above mentioned results have a disadvantage that the smoothness conditions imposed on slowly oscillating symbols are very strong. In this paper we will use a much weaker notion of slow oscillation, which goes back to Sarason [19]. A bounded continuous function f on $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0,\infty)$ is called slowly oscillating at 0 and ∞ if

$$\lim_{r\to s} \max_{t,\tau\in[r,2r]} |f(t) - f(\tau)| = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad s \in \{0,\infty\}.$$

This definition can be extended to the case of bounded continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with values in a Banach space X.

The set $SO(\mathbb{R}_+)$ of all slowly oscillating functions forms a C^*-algebra. This algebra properly contains $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$, the C^*-algebra of all continuous functions on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ := [0,+\infty]$. For a unital commutative Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, let $M(\mathfrak{A})$ denote its maximal ideal space. Identifying the points $t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ with the evaluation functionals $t(f) = f(t)$ for $f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$, we get $M(C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)) = \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$. Consider the fibers

$$M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+)) := \{\xi \in M(SO(\mathbb{R}_+)) : \xi|_{C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)} = s\}$$

of the maximal ideal space $M(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$ over the points $s \in \{0,\infty\}$. By [12, Proposition 2.1], the set

$$\Delta := M_0(SO(\mathbb{R}_+)) \cup M_\infty(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$$

coincides with $(\mathrm{clos}_{SO^*}\mathbb{R}_+)\setminus\mathbb{R}_+$ where $\mathrm{clos}_{SO^*}\mathbb{R}_+$ is the weak-star closure of $\mathbb{R}_+$ in the dual space of $SO(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then $M(SO(\mathbb{R}_+)) = \Delta \cup \mathbb{R}_+$.

The second author [10] developed a Fredholm theory for Fourier pseudodifferential operators with slowly oscillating $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued symbols where $V(\mathbb{R})$ is the Banach algebra of absolutely continuous functions of bounded total variation on $\mathbb{R}$. Those results were translated to the Mellin setting in [12]. In particular, the important algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ of slowly oscillating $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued functions was introduced and a Fredholm criterion for Mellin PDO's with symbols in the closure of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ in the norm of the Banach algebra

$C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, C_p(\mathbb{R}))$ of bounded continuous $C_p(\mathbb{R})$-valued functions was obtained on the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$ for all $p \in (1,\infty)$ [12, Theorem 4.3]. Here $C_p(\mathbb{R})$ is the smallest closed subalgebra of the algebra $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ that contains the algebra $V(\mathbb{R})$. We refer, e.g., to [1, Sections 9.1–9.7], [2, Chap. 1], [5, Section 2.1], [18, Section 4.2], and [20] for properties of the algebras $V(\mathbb{R})$, $C_p(\mathbb{R})$, and $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$.

For symbols in the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ the above mentioned Fredholm criterion has a simpler form [8, Theorem 3.6]. That result was already used in [7] (see also [8]) to prove that the simplest weighted singular integral operator with two shifts

$$U_\alpha P^+_\gamma + U_\beta P^-_\gamma \tag{1.3}$$

is Fredholm of index zero on the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $p \in (1,\infty)$, where $\alpha,\beta : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ are orientation preserving diffeomorphisms with the only fixed points 0 and ∞ such that $\log\alpha', \log\beta'$ are bounded, $\alpha', \beta' \in SO(\mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$U_\alpha f = (\alpha')^{1/p}(f\circ\alpha), \quad U_\beta f = (\beta')^{1/p}(f\circ\beta), \quad P^\pm_\gamma := (I \pm S_\gamma)/2,$$

and S_γ is the weighted Cauchy singular integral operator given by

$$(S_\gamma f)(t) := \frac{1}{\pi i}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^\gamma \frac{f(\tau)}{\tau - t} d\tau$$

with $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $0 < 1/p + \Re\gamma < 1$ (for $\gamma = 0$ this result was obtained in [8]). To study more general operators than (1.3) in the forthcoming paper [9], we need not only a Fredholm criterion for $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ with $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ given in [8, Theorem 3.6], but also an information on the regularizers of $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$. Note that a full description of the regularizers of a Fredholm Mellin PDO $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ is available if $\mathfrak{a} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfies (1.1)–(1.2), see [16, Theorem 2.6]), however such a description is missing for the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$.

The aim of this paper is to fill in this gap and to complement the Fredholm criterion for Mellin PDO's with symbols in $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$. Here we provide an explicit description of all regularizers of a Fredholm operator $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ with $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$. Namely, we prove that if $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ does not degenerate on the "boundary" of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ in a certain sense, then the Mellin PDO $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ is Fredholm on the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$ for $p \in (1,\infty)$ and each its regularizer is of the form $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{b}) + K$ where K is a compact operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ is a certain explicitly constructed function in the same algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ such that $\mathfrak{b} = 1/\mathfrak{a}$ on the "boundary" of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. By the "boundary" of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ we mean the set

$$(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \{\pm\infty\}) \cup (\Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}). \tag{1.4}$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define the algebra $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ of all bounded continuous $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued functions and state that if $\mathfrak{a} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, then $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$. In Section 3 we introduce the algebra $SO(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ of slowly oscillating $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued functions (a generalization of $SO(\mathbb{R}_+)$) and its subalgebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$. Further we explain how the values of a function $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ on the boundary (1.4) are defined and recall that

$$\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})\,\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{b}) \simeq \mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) \quad \text{whenever} \quad \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R})). \tag{1.5}$$

In Section 4 we define our main algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R})) \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ and show that all algebras $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, $SO(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ are inverse closed in $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$, the algebra of all bounded continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. Combining the inverse closedness of the algebras $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$) with (1.5), we get a description of all regularizers for $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ with $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$) bounded away from zero on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. In Section 5 we show that the latter strong hypothesis can be essentially relaxed in the case of the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$. We show that if $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ does not degenerate on the "boundary" (1.4), then there exists $\mathfrak{b} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ such that $\mathfrak{b} = 1/\mathfrak{a}$ on the "boundary" (1.4). This construction becomes possible for $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ because the limiting values of $\mathfrak{a}(t, \cdot)$ on Δ are attained uniformly in the norm of $V(\mathbb{R})$ (see Lemma 5.2). Finally we recall that if $\mathfrak{c} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, then $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{c})$ is compact if and only if its symbol $\mathfrak{c}$ degenerates on the "boundary" (1.4). Combining this result with our construction, we arrive at the main result of the paper.

2 Algebra $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ and Boundedness of Mellin PDO's

2.1 Definition of the Algebra $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$

Let a be an absolutely continuous function of finite total variation

$$V(a) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |a'(x)| dx$$

on $\mathbb{R}$. The set $V(\mathbb{R})$ of all absolutely continuous functions of finite total variation on $\mathbb{R}$ becomes a Banach algebra equipped with the norm

$$\|a\|_V := \|a\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + V(a). \tag{2.1}$$

Following [10, 11], let $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ denote the Banach algebra of all bounded continuous $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with the norm

$$\|\mathfrak{a}(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \|\mathfrak{a}(t, \cdot)\|_V.$$

2.2 Boundedness of Mellin PDO's

As usual, let $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be the set of all infinitely differentiable functions of compact support on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

The following boundedness result for Mellin pseudodifferential operators can be extracted from [11, Theorem 6.1] (see also [10, Theorem 3.1]).

Theorem 2.1. *If $\mathfrak{a} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, then the Mellin pseudodifferential operator* $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$, *defined for functions $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by the iterated integral*

$$[\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a}) f](t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathfrak{a}(t, x) \left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{ix} f(\tau) \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

extends to a bounded linear operator on the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$ and there is a positive constant C_p depending only on p such that

$$\|\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu))} \le C_p \|\mathfrak{a}\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))}.$$

3 Algebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ and Compactness of Semi-Commutators of Mellin PDO's

3.1 Definitions of the Algebras $SO(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ and $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$

Let $SO(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ denote the Banach subalgebra of $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ consisting of all $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued functions $\mathfrak{a}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ that slowly oscillate at 0 and ∞, that is,

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \mathrm{cm}_r^C(\mathfrak{a}) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \mathrm{cm}_r^C(\mathfrak{a}) = 0,$$

where

$$\mathrm{cm}_r^C(\mathfrak{a}) := \max\{\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot) - \mathfrak{a}(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} : t, \tau \in [r, 2r]\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ be the Banach algebra of all $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued functions $\mathfrak{a} \in SO(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ such that

$$\lim_{|h|\to 0} \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot) - \mathfrak{a}^h(t,\cdot)\|_V = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{a}^h(t,x) := \mathfrak{a}(t, x+h)$ for all $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$.

3.2 Limiting Values of Functions in the Algebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$

Let $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the function $\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)$ belongs to $V(\mathbb{R})$ and, therefore, has finite limits at $\pm\infty$, which will be denoted by $\mathfrak{a}(t,\pm\infty)$. Now we explain how to extend the function $\mathfrak{a}$ to $\Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. By analogy with [10, Lemma 2.7] one can prove the following.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $s \in \{0,\infty\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{a}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a countable subset of the algebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$. For each $\xi \in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$ there is a sequence $\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ and functions $\mathfrak{a}_k(\xi,\cdot) \in V(\mathbb{R})$ such that $t_j \to s$ as $j \to \infty$ and*

$$\mathfrak{a}_k(\xi, x) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \mathfrak{a}_k(t_j, x)$$

for every $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following lemma will be of some importance in applications we have in mind [9] (although it will not be used in the current paper).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\{\mathfrak{a}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ such that the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{a}_n$ converges in the norm of $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ to a function $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{a}(t,\pm\infty) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{a}_n(t,\pm\infty) \ \text{ for all } \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{a}_n(\xi, x) \ \text{ for all } \ (\xi, x) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\mathfrak{s}_N := \sum_{n=1}^N \mathfrak{a}_n.$$

By the hypothesis, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $N > N_0$,

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}} |\mathfrak{a}(t,x) - \mathfrak{s}_N(t,x)| \le \|\mathfrak{a} - \mathfrak{s}_N\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))} < \varepsilon/3. \tag{3.3}$$

Fix some $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. For every $N > N_0$ there exists $x(t,N) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $x \in (x(t,N), +\infty)$,

$$|\mathfrak{a}(t,+\infty) - \mathfrak{a}(t,x)| < \varepsilon/3, \quad |\mathfrak{s}_N(t,+\infty) - \mathfrak{s}_N(t,x)| < \varepsilon/3. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.3) and (3.4) it follows that for every $N > N_0$ and $x \in (x(t,N), +\infty)$,

$$|\mathfrak{a}(t,+\infty) - \mathfrak{s}_N(t,+\infty)| \le |\mathfrak{a}(t,+\infty) - \mathfrak{a}(t,x)| + |\mathfrak{a}(t,x) - \mathfrak{s}_N(t,x)| + |\mathfrak{s}_N(t,x) - \mathfrak{s}_N(t,+\infty)| < \varepsilon.$$

This implies the first equality in (3.2) for the sign "+". The proof for the sign "–" is analogous.

Fix $s \in \{0,\infty\}$ and $\xi \in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$. In view of Lemma 3.1, there exists a sequence $\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $t_j \to s$ as $j \to \infty$ and functions $\mathfrak{a}(\xi,\cdot) \in V(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\mathfrak{s}_N(\xi,\cdot) \in V(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\mathfrak{a}(\xi,x) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \mathfrak{a}(t_j,x), \quad \mathfrak{s}_N(\xi,x) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \mathfrak{s}_N(t_j,x)$$

for all $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For every $N > N_0$ there exists $j_0(x,N) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $j > j_0(x,N)$,

$$|\mathfrak{a}(\xi,x) - \mathfrak{a}(t_j,x)| < \varepsilon/3, \quad |\mathfrak{s}_N(\xi,x) - \mathfrak{s}_N(t_j,x)| < \varepsilon/3. \tag{3.5}$$

From (3.3) and (3.5) we obtain that for $N > N_0$ and $j > j_0(x,N)$,

$$|\mathfrak{a}(\xi,x) - \mathfrak{s}_N(\xi,x)| \le |\mathfrak{a}(\xi,x) - \mathfrak{a}(t_j,x)| + |\mathfrak{a}(t_j,x) - \mathfrak{s}_N(t_j,x)| + |\mathfrak{s}_N(t_j,x) - \mathfrak{s}_N(\xi,x)| < \varepsilon,$$

which concludes the proof of the second equality in (3.2). □

3.3 Compactness of Semi-Commutators of Mellin PDO's

Let E be the isometric isomorphism

$$E : L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}), \quad (Ef)(x) := f(e^x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.6}$$

Applying the relation

$$\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a}) = E^{-1} a(x,D) E \tag{3.7}$$

between the Mellin pseudodifferential operator $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ and the Fourier pseudodifferential operator $a(x,D)$ considered in [10], where

$$\mathfrak{a}(t,x) = a(\ln t, x), \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.8}$$

we infer from [10, Theorem 8.3] the following compactness result.

Theorem 3.3. *If* $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, *then* $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})\,\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{b}) \simeq \mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$.

4 Regularization of Mellin PDO's with Symbols Globally Bounded Away from Zero

4.1 Definition of the Algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$

We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ the Banach algebra consisting of all functions $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ that satisfy the condition

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]} |\partial_x \mathfrak{a}(t,x)|\,dx = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

This algebra plays a crucial role in the paper.

4.2 Inverse Closedness of the Algebras $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, $SO(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ in the Algebra $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$

Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a unital Banach algebra and $\mathfrak{A}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}$, which contains the identity element of $\mathfrak{B}$. The algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to be inverse closed in the algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ if every element $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, invertible in $\mathfrak{B}$, is invertible in $\mathfrak{A}$ as well.

Lemma 4.1. *The algebras $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, $SO(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ are inverse closed in the Banach algebra $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ of all bounded continuous functions on the half-plane $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. The proof is developed by analogy with [10, pp. 755–756]. Let $\mathfrak{a} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ be invertible in $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})} = \sup_{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}} |\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(t,x)| = \left(\inf_{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}} |\mathfrak{a}(t,x)|\right)^{-1} < \infty.$$

Therefore, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(t,\cdot)\|_V &= \|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} + V(\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(t,\cdot)) = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \left|\frac{\mathfrak{a}(t,x)}{\mathfrak{a}^2(t,x)}\right| + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\frac{\partial_x \mathfrak{a}(t,x)}{\mathfrak{a}^2(t,x)}\right| dx \\ &\le \|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\|^2_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})} \left(\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + V(\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot))\right) = \|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\|^2_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})} \|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)\|_V. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Hence

$$\|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))} \le \|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\|^2_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})} \|\mathfrak{a}(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))} \tag{4.3}$$

and for every $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(t,\cdot) - \mathfrak{a}^{-1}(\tau,\cdot)\|_V &\le \|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(t,\cdot)\|_V \|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(\tau,\cdot)\|_V \|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot) - \mathfrak{a}(\tau,\cdot)\|_V \\ &\le \|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\|^4_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})} \|\mathfrak{a}(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))} \|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot) - \mathfrak{a}(\tau,\cdot)\|_V. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

From inequalities (4.3)–(4.4) it follows that the function $\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$ is a bounded and continuous $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued function. Thus, $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ is inverse closed in $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$.

Suppose $\mathfrak{a} \in SO(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ is invertible in $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$. If $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then

$$\|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(t,\cdot) - \mathfrak{a}^{-1}(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le \|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\|^2_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})} \|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot) - \mathfrak{a}(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{4.5}$$

Therefore

$$\mathrm{cm}_r^C(\mathfrak{a}^{-1}) \le \|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\|^2_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})}\,\mathrm{cm}_r^C(\mathfrak{a}), \quad r\in\mathbb{R}_+.$$

From the above inequality we conclude that $\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\in SO(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$. Thus, $SO(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ is inverse closed in $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ be invertible in $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})$. Taking into account inequality (4.2) and that the norm in $V(\mathbb{R})$ is translation-invariant, we get for $h\in\mathbb{R}$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(t,\cdot)-(\mathfrak{a}^{-1})^h(t,\cdot)\|_V &\le \|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(t,\cdot)\|_V\|(\mathfrak{a}^{-1})^h(t,\cdot)\|_V\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)-(\mathfrak{a})^h(t,\cdot)\|_V\\ &\le \|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\|^4_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})}\|\mathfrak{a}(\cdot,\cdot)\|^2_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))}\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{a}^h(t,\cdot)\|_V. \qquad (4.6)\end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality and $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ it follows that

$$\lim_{|h|\to 0}\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(t,\cdot)-(\mathfrak{a}^{-1})^h(t,\cdot)\|_V=0.$$

This means that $\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$, whence the proof of the inverse closedness of the algebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ in the algebra $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})$ is completed.

Finally, if $\mathfrak{a}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ is invertible in $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|\partial_x\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(t,x)|\,dx\le\|\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\|^2_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})}\lim_{m\to\infty}\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|\partial_x\mathfrak{a}(t,x)|\,dx=0.$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ and thus the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ is inverse closed in the algebra $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$. □

4.3 First Result on the Regularization of Mellin PDO's

Lemma 4.2. *If* $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ *(resp.* $\mathfrak{a}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ *is such that*

$$\inf_{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}}|\mathfrak{a}(t,x)|>0, \qquad (4.7)$$

then the Mellin pseudodifferential operator $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ *is Fredholm on the space* $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,d\mu)$ *and each its regularizer is of the form* $\mathrm{Op}(1/\mathfrak{a})+K$ *where* K *is a compact operator on the space* $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,d\mu)$ *and* $1/\mathfrak{a}\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ *(resp.* $1/\mathfrak{a}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$*).*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{a}$ satisfies (4.7) and belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ (resp. to $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$), then $1/\mathfrak{a}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ (resp. to $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$) in view of Lemma 4.1. Then in both cases from Theorem 3.3 we obtain $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})\mathrm{Op}(1/\mathfrak{a})\simeq\mathrm{Op}(1)=I$ and $\mathrm{Op}(1/\mathfrak{a})\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})\simeq\mathrm{Op}(1)=I$, which completes the proof. □

As it happens, the very strong hypothesis (4.7) can be essentially relaxed for Mellin PDO's with symbols in the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$. This issue will be discussed in the next section.

5 Algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ and Fredholmness of Mellin PDO's

5.1 Elementary Properties of Two Important Functions in $V(\mathbb{R})$

We prelude our main construction with properties of two important functions in $V(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 5.1. (a) *For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, put*

$$p_-(x) := (1 - \tanh(\pi x))/2, \quad p_+(x) := (1 + \tanh(\pi x))/2. \tag{5.1}$$

Then $\|p_-\|_V = \|p_+\|_V = 2$.

(b) *For every $h \in \mathbb{R}$, put $p_\pm^h(x) := p_\pm(x+h)$. Then*

$$\left\|p_\pm - p_\pm^h\right\|_V \le 5\pi|h|/2. \tag{5.2}$$

(c) *For every $m > 0$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]} |(p_\pm)'(x)|\,dx < e^{-2\pi m}. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. (a) Since the function p_+ (resp. p_-) is monotonically increasing (resp. decreasing), $p_\pm(\mp\infty) = 0$ and $p_\pm(\pm\infty) = 1$, we have $\|p_\pm\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} = 1$ and $V(p_\pm) = |p_\pm(+\infty) - p_\pm(-\infty)| = 1$. Thus $\|p_\pm\|_V = \|p_\pm\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + V(p_\pm) = 2$. Part (a) is proved.

(b) From (5.1) it follows that

$$(p_\pm)'(x) = \pm\frac{\pi}{2\cosh^2(\pi x)}, \quad (p_\mp)''(x) = \mp\frac{\pi^2\tanh(\pi x)}{\cosh^2(\pi x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.4}$$

Hence $|(p_\pm)'(x)| \le \pi/2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. From here, by the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$|p_\pm(\pi x) - p_\pm[\pi(x+h)]| \le \pi|h|/2, \quad x, h \in \mathbb{R},$$

whence

$$\|p_\pm - p_\pm^h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le \pi|h|/2. \tag{5.5}$$

Taking into account identities (5.4), we obtain

$$|p_\pm''(x)| \le 2\pi p_+'(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then for $h \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} V(p_\pm - p_\pm^h) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p_\pm'(x) - p_\pm'(x+h)|\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\int_x^{x+h} p_\pm''(y)dy\right| dx \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_x^{x+|h|} |p_\pm''(y)|\,dy \le 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_x^{x+|h|} p_+'(y)\,dy \\ &= 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_+'(y)\,dy \int_{y-|h|}^{y} dx = 2\pi|h|(p_+(+\infty) - p_+(-\infty)) = 2\pi|h|. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Combining (5.5) and (5.6), we arrive at (5.2).

(c) From (5.1) it follows that for $m > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]} |p_\pm'(x)|\,dx = \pi \int_m^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{\cosh^2(\pi x)} = 1 - \tanh(\pi m) = \frac{1}{e^{2\pi m} + 1} < e^{-2\pi m},$$

which completes the proof. □

5.2 Limiting Values of Elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$

For functions in the algebra $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, we have a stronger result than Lemma 3.1, which follows from [10, Lemma 2.9] with the aid of the diagonal process.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $s \in \{0, \infty\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{a}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable subset of the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$. For each $\xi \in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$ there is a sequence $\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ and functions $\mathfrak{a}_k(\xi, \cdot) \in V(\mathbb{R})$ such that $t_j \to s$ as $j \to \infty$ and*

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\mathfrak{a}_k(t_j, \cdot) - \mathfrak{a}_k(\xi, \cdot)\|_V = 0 \quad \textit{for all} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5.7}$$

Conversely, every sequence $\{\tau_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\tau_j \to s$ as $j \to \infty$ contains a subsequence $\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that (5.7) *holds for some $\xi \in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$.*

As usual, the maximal ideal space $M(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$ is equipped with the Gelfand topology. Then, in view of [1, Section 1.24], the set Δ is a compact Haudorff subspace of $M(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$. It is equipped with the induced topology. Finally, the compact Hausdorff space $\Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is equipped with the product topology generated by the topologies of Δ and $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Lemma 5.3. *For every $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$, the function $(\xi, x) \mapsto \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)$ is continuous on the compact Hausdorff space $\Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. It follows from (3.1) that there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $h \in (-\delta, \delta)$,

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\mathfrak{a}(t, x) - \mathfrak{a}(t, x + h)| \le \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \|\mathfrak{a}(t, \cdot) - \mathfrak{a}(t, \cdot + h)\|_V < \varepsilon/6.$$

Hence there is an $h \in (0, \infty)$ such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - y| < h$,

$$|\mathfrak{a}(t, x) - \mathfrak{a}(t, y)| < \varepsilon/6. \tag{5.8}$$

By Lemma 5.2, for every $s \in \{0, \infty\}$ and $\xi \in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$, there is a sequence $\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ and a function $\mathfrak{a}(\xi, \cdot) \in V(\mathbb{R}) \subset C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ such that $t_j \to s$ as $j \to \infty$ and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \sup_{x\in\overline{\mathbb{R}}} |\mathfrak{a}(t_j, x) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)| \le \lim_{j\to\infty} \|\mathfrak{a}(t_j, \cdot) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi, \cdot)\|_V = 0. \tag{5.9}$$

From the above inequality it follows that there is a $J \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $j \ge J$,

$$|\mathfrak{a}(t_j, x) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)| < \varepsilon/6, \quad |\mathfrak{a}(t_j, y) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)| < \varepsilon/6.$$

Combining these inequalities with (5.8), we deduce for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|x - y| < h$, all $j \ge J$, all $s \in \{0, \infty\}$, and all $\xi \in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$ that

$$|\mathfrak{a}(\xi, x) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi, y)| \le |\mathfrak{a}(t_j, x) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)| + |\mathfrak{a}(t_j, y) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi, y)| + |\mathfrak{a}(t_j, x) - \mathfrak{a}(t_j, y)| < \varepsilon/2.$$

Therefore, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|x - y| < h$ we have

$$\sup_{\xi\in\Delta} |\mathfrak{a}(\xi, x) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi, y)| \le \varepsilon/2. \tag{5.10}$$

Fix $\xi \in \Delta$. Since the function $\mathfrak{a}(\cdot, x)$ belongs to the algebra $SO(\mathbb{R}_+)$, there exists an open neighborhood $U_x(\xi) \subset \Delta$ of ξ such that

$$|\mathfrak{a}(\eta, x) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)| < \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{for all} \quad \eta \in U_x(\xi). \tag{5.11}$$

Consequently, we infer from (5.10) and (5.11) that

$$|\mathfrak{a}(\eta,y) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)| \le |\mathfrak{a}(\eta,y) - \mathfrak{a}(\eta, x)| + |\mathfrak{a}(\eta, x) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)| < \varepsilon$$

for all $(\eta,y) \in U_x(\xi) \times (x-h, x+h)$, which means that the function $(\xi, x) \mapsto \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)$ is continuous on $\Delta \times \mathbb{R}$.

It remains to show that actually the function $(\xi, x) \mapsto \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)$ is continuous on $\Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. By (4.1), for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $M > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} |\mathfrak{a}(t,y) - \mathfrak{a}(t,+\infty)| \le \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \int_M^\infty |\partial_x \mathfrak{a}(t,x)|\, dx < \varepsilon/6 \quad \text{for all} \quad y > M. \tag{5.12}$$

By Lemma 5.2, for every $s \in \{0,\infty\}$ and every $\xi \in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$ there exist a sequence $\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ and a function $\mathfrak{a}(\xi,\cdot) \in V(\mathbb{R}) \subset C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ such that $t_j \to s$ as $j \to \infty$ and (5.9) is fulfilled. From (5.9) it follows that there is a $J \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $j \ge J$, all $s \in \{0,\infty\}$, and all $\xi \in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$,

$$|\mathfrak{a}(\xi,y) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi,+\infty)| \le |\mathfrak{a}(t_j,y) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi,y)| + |\mathfrak{a}(t_j,+\infty) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi,+\infty)| + |\mathfrak{a}(t_j,y) - \mathfrak{a}(t_j,+\infty)| < \varepsilon/2.$$

Therefore, for all $y > M$ we have

$$\sup_{\xi\in\Delta} |\mathfrak{a}(\xi,y) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi,+\infty)| \le \varepsilon/2. \tag{5.13}$$

Fix $\xi \in \Delta$. Since the function $\mathfrak{a}(\cdot,+\infty)$ belongs to $SO(\mathbb{R}_+)$, there is an open neighborhood $U_{+\infty}(\xi) \subset \Delta$ of ξ such that

$$|\mathfrak{a}(\eta,+\infty) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi,+\infty)| < \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{for all} \quad \eta \in U_{+\infty}(\xi). \tag{5.14}$$

Then similarly to (5.11) we deduce from (5.13) and (5.14) that

$$|\mathfrak{a}(\eta,y) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi,+\infty)| \le |\mathfrak{a}(\eta,y) - \mathfrak{a}(\eta,+\infty)| + |\mathfrak{a}(\eta,+\infty) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi,+\infty)| < \varepsilon \tag{5.15}$$

for all $(\eta,y) \in U_{+\infty}(\xi) \times (M,+\infty]$.

Analogously, for every $\xi \in \Delta$ there exist an open neighborhood $U_{-\infty}(\xi) \subset \Delta$ of ξ and a number $M < 0$ such that

$$|\mathfrak{a}(\eta,y) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi,-\infty)| < \varepsilon \tag{5.16}$$

for all $(\eta,y) \in U_{-\infty}(\xi) \times [-\infty, M)$.

Finally, we conclude from (5.15)–(5.16) and the continuity of $(\xi, x) \mapsto \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)$ on the set $\Delta \times \mathbb{R}$ that this function is continuous on the compact Hausdorff space $\Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. □

5.3 Key Construction

In this subsection we show that if $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ does not degenerate on the "boundary" (1.4), then there exists $\mathfrak{b} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ such that $\mathfrak{b} = 1/\mathfrak{a}$ on the "boundary" (1.4).

Lemma 5.4. *If $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ and*

$$\mathfrak{a}(t, \pm\infty) \neq 0 \ \text{ for all } \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x) \neq 0 \ \text{ for all } \ (\xi, x) \in \Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{5.17}$$

then

$$A_\pm := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{|a(t, \pm\infty)|} < \infty \tag{5.18}$$

and there exists an $r > 1$ such that

$$A(r) := \sup_{(t,x) \in T_r \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}} \left| \frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}(t, x)} \right| < \infty \tag{5.19}$$

where $T_r := (0, r^{-1}] \cup [r, \infty)$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, the function $(\xi, x) \mapsto \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)$ is continuous on the compact Hausdorff space $\Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore, we infer from (5.17) that

$$C := \min\{|\mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)| : (\xi, x) \in \Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}\} > 0. \tag{5.20}$$

For every point $(\xi, x) \in \Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ we consider its open neighborhood $U_{\mathfrak{a},\xi,x} \subset M(SO(\mathbb{R}_+)) \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$|\mathfrak{a}(\eta, y) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x)| < C/2 \quad \text{for every} \quad (\eta, y) \in U_{\mathfrak{a},\xi,x}. \tag{5.21}$$

We claim that there exists a number $r > 1$ such that

$$T_r \times \overline{\mathbb{R}} \subset \bigcup_{(\xi,x) \in \Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}} U_{\mathfrak{a},\xi,x}. \tag{5.22}$$

Assume the contrary. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ there exists a point $(\tau_n, x_n) \in T_n \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$(\tau_n, x_n) \notin \left(\bigcup_{(\xi,x) \in M_0(SO(\mathbb{R}_+)) \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}} U_{\mathfrak{a},\xi,x} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{(\xi,x) \in M_\infty(SO(\mathbb{R}_+)) \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}} U_{\mathfrak{a},\xi,x} \right). \tag{5.23}$$

Since $\tau_n \in T_n = (0, 1/n] \cup [n, \infty)$ for all $n \geq 2$, we can extract a subsequence $\{\tau_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $\{\tau_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \tau_{n_k} = s \quad \text{for some} \quad s \in \{0, \infty\}. \tag{5.24}$$

Further, we can extract a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k_i}}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the corresponding sequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the limit

$$x_0 := \lim_{i \to \infty} x_{n_{k_i}} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} \tag{5.25}$$

exists. Then, by Lemma 5.2, there exists a subsequence $\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} = \left\{\tau_{n_{k_{i_j}}}\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $\left\{\tau_{n_{k_i}}\right\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and a point $\xi_0 \in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$ such that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\mathfrak{a}(t_j,\cdot) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,\cdot)\|_V = 0. \tag{5.26}$$

Put $\{y_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} = \left\{x_{n_{k_{i_j}}}\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$. Taking into account (5.23)–(5.26), we have shown that if (5.22) is violated for all $r > 1$, then there exist $s \in \{0,\infty\}$, $\xi_0 \in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))$, and a sequence $\{(t_j,y_j)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that (5.26) is fulfilled,

$$\{(t_j,y_j) : j\in\mathbb{N}\} \cap \left(\bigcup_{(\xi,x)\in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))\times\overline{\mathbb{R}}} U_{\mathfrak{a},\xi,x} \right) = \emptyset, \tag{5.27}$$

and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} y_j = x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \lim_{j\to\infty} t_j = s. \tag{5.28}$$

Since $(\xi_0,x_0) \in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))\times\overline{\mathbb{R}} \subset \Delta\times\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, from Lemma 5.3 and the first equality in (5.28) we deduce that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} |\mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,y_j) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,x_0)| = 0. \tag{5.29}$$

For every $j\in\mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathfrak{a}(t_j,y_j) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,x_0)| &\le |\mathfrak{a}(t_j,y_j) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,y_j)| + |\mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,y_j) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,x_0)| \\
&\le \sup_{y\in\overline{\mathbb{R}}} |\mathfrak{a}(t_j,y) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,y)| + |\mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,y_j) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,x_0)| \\
&\le \|\mathfrak{a}(t_j,\cdot) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,\cdot)\|_V + |\mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,y_j) - \mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,x_0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.26), (5.29), and the above inequality we deduce that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \mathfrak{a}(t_j,y_j) = \mathfrak{a}(\xi_0,x_0).$$

This means that for all sufficiently large j the points (t_j,y_j) belong to the neighborhood $U_{\mathfrak{a},\xi_0,x_0}$ of the point $(\xi_0,x_0) \in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))\times\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, which is impossible in view of (5.27). Hence, we arrive at the contradiction.

Thus, condition (5.22) is fulfilled for some $r > 1$. Therefore, in view of (5.20) and (5.21), we obtain

$$\inf_{(t,x)\in T_r\times\overline{\mathbb{R}}} |\mathfrak{a}(t,x)| > C/2 > 0.$$

This inequality immediately yields (5.19). Finally, (5.19) and the first condition in (5.17) imply (5.18). □

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$ satisfies* (5.17) *and $r > 1$ is a number such that* (5.19) *holds (the existence of this number is guaranteed by Lemma* 5.4*). Put*

$$\ell_\pm(t) := \frac{\ln r \pm \ln t}{2\ln r}, \quad c_\pm(t) := \frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}(t,\pm\infty)} - \frac{\ell_-(t)}{\mathfrak{a}(r^{-1},\pm\infty)} - \frac{\ell_+(t)}{\mathfrak{a}(r,\pm\infty)}, \quad t\in[r^{-1},r], \tag{5.30}$$

and consider the functions $p_\pm$ given by (5.1)*. Then the function*

$$\mathfrak{b}(t,x) := \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\mathfrak{a}(t,x)}, & (t,x) \in (\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus [r^{-1},r]) \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \\ \dfrac{\ell_-(t)}{\mathfrak{a}(r^{-1},x)} + \dfrac{\ell_+(t)}{\mathfrak{a}(r,x)} + c_-(t)p_-(x) + c_+(t)p_+(x), & (t,x) \in [r^{-1},r] \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \end{cases} \tag{5.31}$$

is continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and is equal to $1/\mathfrak{a}$ on the set $((\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus (r^{-1},r)) \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}) \cup ((r^{-1},r) \times \{\pm\infty\})$.

Proof. Since $\ell_\pm(r^{\mp 1}) = 0$ and $\ell_\pm(r^{\pm 1}) = 1$, we have $c_\pm(r) = c_\pm(r^{-1}) = 0$. Therefore

$$\mathfrak{b}(r^{\pm 1},x) = 1/\mathfrak{a}(r^{\pm 1},x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.32}$$

Taking into account that $p_\mp(\pm\infty) = 0$ and $p_\pm(\pm\infty) = 1$, we get from (5.30)–(5.31)

$$\mathfrak{b}(t,\pm\infty) = \frac{\ell_-(t)}{\mathfrak{a}(r^{-1},\pm\infty)} + \frac{\ell_+(t)}{\mathfrak{a}(r,\pm\infty)} + c_\pm(t) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}(t,\pm\infty)} \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [r^{-1},r]. \tag{5.33}$$

Thus, the assertion of the lemma follows from (5.32)–(5.33) and and the equality $\mathfrak{b}(t,x) = 1/\mathfrak{a}(t,x)$ for all $(t,x) \in (\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus [r^{-1},r]) \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ (see (5.31)). □

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ satisfies* (5.17) *and $\mathfrak{b}$ is the function defined by* (5.30)–(5.31) *with $r > 1$ such that* (5.19) *holds (the existence of this number is guaranteed by Lemma* 5.4*). Then $\mathfrak{b} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ and*

$$\mathfrak{b}(t,\pm\infty) = 1/\mathfrak{a}(t,\pm\infty) \ \textit{ for all } \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \mathfrak{b}(\xi,x) = 1/\mathfrak{a}(\xi,x) \ \textit{ for all } \ (\xi,x) \in \Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{5.34}$$

Proof. We divide the proof into five steps:

(a) First we prove that the function $\mathfrak{b}$ belongs to the algebra $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$. Let

$$T_r := (0,r^{-1}] \cup [r,+\infty).$$

By Lemma 5.5,

$$\mathfrak{b}(t,x) = 1/\mathfrak{a}(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in T_r \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{5.35}$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)$ belongs to $V(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, by analogy with (4.2), we infer from (5.19) that

$$\|\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)\|_V \le A^2(r) \sup_{t \in T_r} \|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)\|_V, \quad t \in T_r. \tag{5.36}$$

From (5.18) and (5.30) it follows that

$$0 \le \ell_\pm(t) \le 1, \quad |c_\pm(t)| \le 3A_\pm, \quad t \in [r^{-1},r]. \tag{5.37}$$

From (5.31), (5.35)–(5.37), and Lemma 5.1(a) it follows that for $t \in (r^{-1},r)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)\|_V &\le \ell_-(t)\|\mathfrak{b}(r^{-1},\cdot)\|_V + \ell_+(t)\|\mathfrak{b}(r,\cdot)\|_V + |c_-(t)|\,\|p_-\|_V + |c_+(t)|\,\|p_+\|_V \\ &\le 2A^2(r) \sup_{t \in T_r} \|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)\|_V + 6A_- + 6A_+. \end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

Combining (5.36) and (5.38), we arrive at

$$\|\mathfrak{b}(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))} = \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\|\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)\|_V \le 2A^2(r)\sup_{t\in T_r}\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)\|_V + 6A_- + 6A_+ < +\infty. \tag{5.39}$$

From (5.19) and (5.35)–(5.36), by analogy with (4.4), we obtain for $t,\tau\in T_r$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{b}(\tau,\cdot)\|_V &\le \|\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)\|_V\|\mathfrak{b}(\tau,\cdot)\|_V\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{a}(\tau,\cdot)\|_V\\ &\le A^4(r)\left(\sup_{t\in T_r}\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)\|_V\right)^2\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{a}(\tau,\cdot)\|_V.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is a continuous $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued function, from the above inequality we conclude that $t\mapsto\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)$ is a continuous $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued function for $t\in T_r$.

Obviously, $\ell_\pm$ are continuous on $[r^{-1},r]$. Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is a continuous $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued function, taking into account (5.18), we also have for $t,\tau\in[r^{-1},r]$,

$$\left|\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}(t,\pm\infty)}-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}(\tau,\pm\infty)}\right| = \frac{|\mathfrak{a}(t,\pm\infty)-\mathfrak{a}(\tau,\pm\infty)|}{|\mathfrak{a}(t,\pm\infty)|\,|\mathfrak{a}(\tau,\pm\infty)|} \le A_\pm^2\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{a}(\tau,\cdot)\|_V.$$

From this inequality and the definitions of $c_\pm$ in (5.30) we see that the functions $c_\pm$ are continuous on $[r^{-1},r]$. Therefore, from the definition (5.31) we conclude that $t\mapsto\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)$ is a continuous $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued function on $[r^{-1},r]$. From the continuity of the $V(\mathbb{R})$-valued function $t\mapsto\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and inequality (5.39) we conclude that $\mathfrak{b}\in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$.

(b) Now we prove that $\mathfrak{b}\in SO(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$. By analogy with (4.5), from (5.19) and (5.35) we obtain

$$\|\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{b}(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le A^2(r)\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{a}(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}, \quad t,\tau\in T_r.$$

Since $a\in SO(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$, from this estimate we obtain

$$\lim_{\nu\to s}\mathrm{cm}_\nu^C(\mathfrak{b}) \le A^2(r)\lim_{\nu\to s}\mathrm{cm}_\nu^C(\mathfrak{a}) = 0,$$

which means that $\mathfrak{b}\in SO(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$.

(c) On this step we show that $\mathfrak{b}\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$. By analogy with (4.6), taking into account that the norm of $V(\mathbb{R})$ is translation-invariant, from (5.19) and (5.35)–(5.36) we get for $h\in\mathbb{R}$ and $t\in T_r$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{b}^h(t,\cdot)\|_V &\le \|\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)\|_V\|\mathfrak{b}^h(t,\cdot)\|_V\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{a}^h(t,\cdot)\|_V\\ &\le C(\mathfrak{a})\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{a}^h(t,\cdot)\|_V,\end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

where

$$C(\mathfrak{a}) := A^4(r)\left(\sup_{t\in T_r}\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)\|_V\right)^2.$$

On the other hand, from (5.31), (5.35), (5.37), (5.40), and Lemma 5.1(b) it follows that for $h\in\mathbb{R}$ and $t\in(r^{-1},r)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{b}^h(t,\cdot)\|_V \le{}& \ell_-(t)\|\mathfrak{b}(r^{-1},\cdot)-\mathfrak{b}^h(r^{-1},\cdot)\|_V + \ell_+(t)\|\mathfrak{b}(r,\cdot)-\mathfrak{b}^h(r,\cdot)\|_V\\ &+|c_-(t)|\,\|p_- - p_-^h\|_V + |c_+(t)|\,\|p_+-p_+^h\|_V\\ \le{}& 2C(\mathfrak{a})\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{a}^h(t,\cdot)\|_V + \frac{15\pi}{2}(A_-+A_+)|h|.\end{aligned} \tag{5.41}$$

Combining (5.40)–(5.41), we arrive at

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\|\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{b}^h(t,\cdot)\|_V \le 2C(\mathfrak{a})\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\|\mathfrak{a}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{a}^h(t,\cdot)\|_V+\frac{15\pi}{2}(A_-+A_+)|h|.$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$, the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero as $|h|\to 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{|h|\to 0}\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\|\mathfrak{b}(t,\cdot)-\mathfrak{b}^h(t,\cdot)\|_V=0.$$

Thus, $b\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$.

(d) Now we prove that $\mathfrak{b}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$. From (5.35) we obtain

$$\partial_x\mathfrak{b}(t,x)=-\mathfrak{a}^{-2}(t,x)\partial_x\mathfrak{a}(t,x),\quad (t,x)\in T_r\times\mathbb{R}.$$

From this identity and (5.19) it follows that for all $m>0$ and $t\in T_r$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|\partial_x\mathfrak{b}(t,x)|\,dx\le A^2(r)\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|\partial_x\mathfrak{a}(t,x)|\,dx. \tag{5.42}$$

On the other hand, from (5.35), (5.37), (5.42), and Lemma 5.1(c) it follows that for all $t\in(r^{-1},r)$ and $m>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|\partial_x\mathfrak{b}(t,x)|\,dx\le&\ell_-(t)\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|\partial_x\mathfrak{b}(r^{-1},x)|\,dx+\ell_+(t)\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|\partial_x\mathfrak{b}(r,x)|\,dx\\&+|c_-(t)|\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|p_-'(x)|\,dx+|c_+(t)|\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|p_+'(x)|\,dx\\\le&2A^2(r)\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|\partial_x\mathfrak{a}(t,x)|\,dx+3(A_-+A_+)e^{-2\pi m}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.43}$$

Combining (5.42)–(5.43), we obtain for $m>0$,

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|\partial_x\mathfrak{b}(t,x)|\,dx\le 2A^2(r)\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|\partial_x\mathfrak{a}(t,x)|\,dx+3(A_-+A_+)e^{-2\pi m}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$, the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero as $m\to\infty$. This implies that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-m,m]}|\partial_x\mathfrak{b}(t,x)|\,dx=0.$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{b}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$.

(e) Finally, we prove (5.34). The first equality in (5.34) was proved in Lemma 5.5. Fix $s\in\{0,\infty\}$. Since $\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+,V(\mathbb{R}))$, from Lemma 3.1 it follows that for each $\xi\in M_s(SO(\mathbb{R}_+))\subset\Delta$ there exists a sequence $\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}_+$ and functions $\mathfrak{a}(\xi,\cdot),\mathfrak{b}(\xi,\cdot)\in V(\mathbb{R})$ such that $t_j\to s$ as $j\to\infty$ and

$$\mathfrak{a}(\xi,x)=\lim_{j\to\infty}\mathfrak{a}(t_j,x),\quad \mathfrak{b}(\xi,x)=\lim_{j\to\infty}\mathfrak{b}(t_j,x),\quad x\in\overline{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{5.44}$$

For all sufficiently large j, one has $t_j\in T_r$. Then from (5.35) we get $\mathfrak{b}(t_j,x)=1/\mathfrak{a}(t_j,x)$ for all sufficiently large j and all $x\in\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. From this equality and (5.44) we obtain the second equality in (5.34). □

5.4 Regularization of Mellin PDO's with Symbols in $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$

From [12, Theorem 4.1] we can extract the following.

Lemma 5.7. *If* $\mathfrak{c} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$*, then* $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{c}) \in \mathcal{K}(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu))$ *if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{c}(t, \pm\infty) = 0 \ \text{ for all } \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \mathfrak{c}(\xi, x) = 0 \ \text{ for all } \ (\xi, x) \in \Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{5.45}$$

Now we are in a position to prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 5.8. *Suppose* $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$.

(a) *If the Mellin pseudodifferential operator* $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ *is Fredholm on the space* $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$*, then*

$$\mathfrak{a}(t, \pm\infty) \neq 0 \ \text{ for all } \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \mathfrak{a}(\xi, x) \neq 0 \ \text{ for all } \ (\xi, x) \in \Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{5.46}$$

(b) *If* (5.46) *holds, then the Mellin pseudodifferential operator* $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ *is Fredholm on the space* $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$ *and each its regularizer has the form* $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{b}) + K$*, where* K *is a compact operator on the space* $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$ *and* $\mathfrak{b} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ *is such that*

$$\mathfrak{b}(t, \pm\infty) = 1/\mathfrak{a}(t, \pm\infty) \ \text{ for all } \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \ \mathfrak{b}(\xi, x) = 1/\mathfrak{a}(\xi, x) \ \text{ for all } \ (\xi, x) \in \Delta \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{5.47}$$

Proof. Part (a) follows from the necessity portion of [12, Theorem 4.3], which was obtained on the base of [10, Theorem 12.2] and (3.6), (3.7)–(3.8).

The proof of part (b) is analogous to the proof of the sufficiency portion of [10, Theorem 12.2]. If (5.46) holds, then by Lemma 5.6 there exists a function $\mathfrak{b} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ such that (5.47) is fulfilled. Therefore, the function $\mathfrak{c} := \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} - 1$ belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}_+, V(\mathbb{R}))$ and (5.45) holds. By Lemma 5.7, the operator $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) - I$ is compact on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu)$. From this observation and Theorem 3.3 we obtain

$$\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})\,\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{b}) \simeq \mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) \simeq I, \quad \mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{b})\,\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a}) \simeq \mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) \simeq I.$$

Thus, the operator $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{a})$ is Fredholm and each its regularizer is of the form $\mathrm{Op}(\mathfrak{b}) + K$, where $K \in \mathcal{K}(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu))$. □

For a symbol $\mathfrak{a} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (1.1)–(1.2) the corresponding result was obtained in [16, Theorem 2.6].

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology) through the projects PEst-OE/MAT/UI0297/2014 (Centro de Matemática e Aplicações) and PEst-OE/MAT/UI4032/2014 (Centro de Análise Funcional e Aplicações). The second author was also supported by the CONACYT Project No. 168104 (México) and by PROMEP (México) via "Proyecto de Redes".

References

[1] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann, *Analysis of Toeplitz operators*. 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[2] R. Duduchava, *Integral equations with fixed singularities*. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1979.

[3] I. Gohberg and N. Krupnik, *One-dimensional linear singular integral equations. I. Introduction*. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 53. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.

[4] V. V. Grushin, Pseudodifferential operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with bounded symbols. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **4** (1970), pp 202–212.

[5] R. Hagen, S. Roch, and B. Silbermann, *Spectral theory of approximation methods for convolution equations*. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 74. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.

[6] L. Hörmander, Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations. In: "Singular integrals (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. X, Chicago, Ill., 1966)", Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967, pp 138–183.

[7] A. Yu. Karlovich, Fredholmness and index of simplest weighted singular integral operators with two slowly oscillating shifts. Submitted. Preprint is available at arXiv:1405.0368.

[8] A. Yu. Karlovich, Yu. I. Karlovich, and A. B. Lebre, Fredholmness and index of simplest singular integral operators with two slowly oscillating shifts. *Operators and Matrices*, to appear.

[9] A. Yu. Karlovich, Yu. I. Karlovich, and A. B. Lebre, On a weighted singular integral operator with shifts and slowly oscillating data. In preparation.

[10] Yu. I. Karlovich, An algebra of pseudodifferential operators with slowly oscillating symbols. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **92** (2006), pp 713–761.

[11] Yu. I. Karlovich, Pseudodifferential operators with compound slowly oscillating symbols. In: "The Extended Field of Operator Theory". *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, vol. 171 (2006), pp 189–224.

[12] Yu. I. Karlovich, An algebra of shift-invariant singular integral operators with slowly oscillating data and its application to operators with a Carleman shift. In: "Analysis, Partial Differential Equations and Applications. The Vladimir Maz'ya Anniversary Volume". *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, vol. 193 (2009), pp 81–95.

[13] H. Kumano-go, *Pseudo-differential operators*. The MIT Press. Cambridge, MA, 1982.

[14] V. S. Rabinovich, Singular integral operators on a composed contour with oscillating tangent and pseudodifferential Mellin operators. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **44** (1992), pp 791–796.

[15] V. S. Rabinovich, Singular integral operators on complicated contours and pseudodifferential operators. *Math. Notes* **58** (1995), pp 722-734.

[16] V. S. Rabinovich, Mellin pseudodifferential operators techniques in the theory of singular integral operators on some Carleson curves. In "Differential and Integral Operators (Regensburg, 1995)". *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, vol. 102 (1998), pp 201–218.

[17] V. S. Rabinovich, S. Roch, and B. Silbermann, *Limit operators and their applications in operator theory*. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 150. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.

[18] S. Roch, P. A. Santos, and B. Silbermann, *Non-commutative Gelfand theories. A tool-kit for operator theorists and numerical analysts*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag London, London, 2011.

[19] D. Sarason, Toeplitz operators with piecewise quasicontinuous symbols. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **26** (1977), pp 817–838.

[20] I. B. Simonenko and Chin Ngok Minh, *Local method in the theory of one-dimensional singular integral equations with piecewise continuous coefficients. Noetherity*. Rostov-on-Don State Univ., Rostov-on-Don, in Russian, 1986.

[21] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*. Princeton Univ. Press, Prinseton, NJ, 1993.